ILE COMPETITION AND COMPANY LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025

APIS – 3920-0028 | ISSN - 2583-8385

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

MITIGATING DISRUPTION IN THE GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAIN: LESSONS FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

AUTHOR - SIMRAN PRADHAN, PGDM STUDENT AT GLOBAL INSTITUTE OF BUSINESS STUDIES (GIBS), BANGALORE

BEST CITATION - SIMRAN PRADHAN, MITIGATING DISRUPTION IN THE GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAIN: LESSONS FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC, *ILE COMPETITION AND COMPANY LAW JOURNAL*, 3 (1) OF 205, PG. 01-12, APIS – 3920-0028 | ISSN - 2583-8385.

INTRODUCTION

The global pandemic of COVID-19 exposed the weaknesses of global supply chains that were overlooked for a long time. As countries went into lockdown and closed their borders to trade, the same global interconnectedness between their supply networks that had been beneficial before became a liability. Global supply chains came to a screeching halt, resulting in the shortages, higher costs, and sudden shifts in consumer demand. The importance of learning the lessons of resilience and recovering from these supply chains has never been more important as the world braces for more future supply chain crises.

The analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on the supply chain is important for at least four reasons. For one, the type and level of disruption caused by the pandemic will show potential gaps in the existing supply chains. Secondly, as companies and organizations are recovering and adjusting to the post-pandemic world, any concepts learned in these researches can aid in decision management and improvement of the supply chains. Thirdly, establishing whether or not there is a correlation between technology and business enables the construction of frameworks that facilitate merges of technological advancement with environment friendly practices in the supply chain. Lastly, considering what the pandemic has taught in regard to supply chains, organizations can focus on building a certain level of responsiveness to internal and external disruptions caused by the next global pandemic, outbreaks, geopolitical upheavals, or natural catastrophes.

Research Gaps

Research Gaps Industry specific strategies – Further research targeting the ability of different firms to personalize their resilience plans is needed.

Technology Impact For A Long Time – There has been no empirical research on the long-term effectiveness of the technology solutions implemented during the pandemic.

Consumer Behavior Dynamics – There needs to be more analysis on the post-pandemic consumer spending changes and their impact on supply chain strategies.

Geopolitical Issues – Supply Chain Resilience and Geopolitical Conflict Working together Multiple factors are not understood regarding resilience of supply chain for geopolitical conflicts.

Practices Sustainability – More research needs to be done on systems resilience to sustainability incorporation.

ILE COMPETITION AND COMPANY LAW JOURNAL
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025
APIS – 3920-0028 | ISSN - 2583-8385
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Research Questions

To enable this research, these are the questions that need to be asked:

What are the reasons for supply chain breaks as a result of Covid 19 crisis?

What actions were taken by corporations to the most affected sectors of the economy?

What part did technology play in counteracting the detrimental impacts of the Covid 19 pandemic on the supply chain?

In what ways do you think businesses could improve the resilience aspect of the supply chain?

What effects do you think the pandemic will have on international business and supply chain management in the future?

Research Objectives

The purpose of this study is to seek to understand the challenges and solutions faced in the supply chain during this period.

Identify and analyze the main reasons for major supply chains disruptions worldwide during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Analyze the adaptive strategies adopted by different industries in response to these disruptions.

Evaluate the effectiveness of technological driven solutions in improving supply chains resilience during the pandemic.

Propose actionable recommendations for businesses to strengthen their supply chains against future disruptions.

Explore the broader implications of the pandemic induced changes on global trade practices and policies in the future.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic has had a massive impact on the global supply chain, thus sparking a wave of research to understand the disruption and means of mitigating its effects. This review summarizes 10 articles produced from 2019 to 2024, focusing on the evolution of thought around supply chain resilience in the face of unprecedented disruption.

1. Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). This article discusses how the COVID-19 pandemic has revealed the weaknesses of global supply chains and the need for adaptive capabilities to absorb disruptions. It proposes a framework to understand supply chain resilience by considering these capabilities.
2. Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2020). The ten major challenges faced by a selected manufacturing organization during the pandemic situation are listed, which include a shortage of labor, shortage of material, and so on. The authors regard traditional inventory practice such as just-in-time cannot address extreme shocks.
3. Mishra, D., et al. (2021). The authors analyze how the supply, demand and logistic disruptions caused by the COVID-19 have an impact on the economy, resulting in ripple effects in different sectors, which requires integrated risk management approach.
4. Choi, T.-M., et al. (2021). This article discusses how the sourcing strategy shifts from global to local in order to alleviate the disruption caused by the pandemic, where localization can offer an effective way to better resilience of supply chain.
5. Donthu, N., & Gustafsson, A. (2020). The work provides insights into the changes in consumer behavior during the pandemic, and how it impacts supply chain management in terms of inventory management and demand forecasting.
6. Craighead, C.W., et al. (2020). The article discusses the changes in power dynamics in the supply chain, and how the pandemic has led to the need for supply chains to become more resilient and less risk-prone.

7. Verma, R., & Gustafsson, A. (2020). Firms need to shift their supply chain strategies to focus more on supply chain resilience over supply chain efficiency after a post-pandemic world.
8. Sodhi, M.S. and Tang, C.S. (2021). This research highlights how the nature of the COVID-19 pandemic made it an "extreme black swan" that disrupted the entire supply chain simultaneously.
9. Kilpatrick, J.A., & Barter, L.M. (2020). The work provides an overview of how panic buying and sudden spikes in demand contributed to a strain on the supply chain, resulting in the need for more flexible inventory management practices.
10. Kottala, K., & Herbert, J.R. (2019). This article explores the global supply chain challenges created by the pandemic, such as the shortage of labor and the restrictions placed on transportation.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS FORMULATION

The phrase supply chain resilience captures the ability of a firm to foresee possible risks and effectively mitigate them, in addition to their ability to recover from the negative disruption.

Knowledge preparedness refers to the steps a company takes to collect, disseminate, and utilize information effectively in order to anticipate and mitigate supply chain risks.

This element, which is critical for enhancing responsiveness to disruptions, covers the level of cooperation and information sharing among participants of the supply chain.

A good example of this type of change is the introduction of a company supply chain innovation, which consists of enhanced processes or technologies that are added to the supply chain to achieve greater output and efficiency.

The capability of the company to alter its inventory levels and processes in reaction to the changes in the market and the demand is known as inventory management flexibility.

Hypotheses

H1: Companies with suppliers or customers located abroad were more likely to default on loans in comparison to businesses with a predominant local focus during the COVID-19 Pandemic. This idea can be understood from Fajgelbaum & Khandelwal's 2022 article, which states that lockdowns and trade restrictions around the world caused massive inconveniences to firms whose operations relied heavily on global supply chains.

H2: There is a positive relationship between the level of business supply chain activities and innovations adopted during the pandemic, in regard to the degree of disruption experienced. With a logic that businesses that were prepared for the sudden covid pandemic were better placed to manage the changes, this hypothesis was posited by Trautrims et al. in 2020.

H3: Knowledge readiness and the extent of disruptions to global supply chains during COVID-19 had an inverse relationship. This is corroborated by evidence that some companies with proactive knowledge management systems were better protected against the shocks of disruptions in supply chains, as Guan et al demonstrated in 2020.

H4: The ability to mitigate interruptions related to COVID-19 heavily depends on the degree to which workers from different companies within the supply chain collaborate. This was evident when collaborative companies outperformed other firms in responding to the first hints of the pandemic, as research by Queiroz et al in 2020 suggests.

H5: Measureable operational disruption was less pronounced among the organizations that were able to incorporate flexible inventory management approaches during the pandemic as compared to those that prepared using the standard inventory procedures. This theory rests on the observation that rigid inventory control systems were more effective

at coping with changes in demand than supply (Kilpatrick & Barter, 2020)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adapts a mixed method approach, which is quantitative and qualitative in nature. The collection of data was done through administering surveys to experts in supply chain from diverse industries. Along with demographic factors, the survey contained psychographic items measuring resilience, knowledge readiness, teamwork, innovation, and inventory management styles.

Sample Selection

The purposive sampling technique was employed in order to gain expert respondents who had a background in supply chain management. The sample was drawn from professionals in manufacturing, retail, logistics, healthcare, technology, and a few other industries.

ILE COMPETITION AND COMPANY LAW JOURNAL
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025
APIS – 3920-0028 | ISSN - 2583-8385
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Data Gathering

The survey was conducted online among respondents from different fields like manufacturing, logistics, healthcare, and retail. The questionnaire comprised 15 questions, with 5 of them being demographics and the remaining 20 being psychographics. For the psychographic part, a 7-point Likert scale was used to measure agreement or disagreement towards given statements related to supply.

1. Our organization primarily relies on international suppliers for our raw materials.

The first chart shows the extent to which organizations rely on international suppliers for raw materials. A significant portion of respondents strongly agree or agree, indicating a high dependency on global supply chains. This reliance underscores the vulnerability of these organizations to international disruptions, such as those caused by the pandemic.


2. The COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted our ability to fulfil international orders.


The second chart highlights the pandemic's impact on fulfilling international orders. Most respondents agree or strongly agree that COVID-19 significantly disrupted their ability to meet international demand. This finding aligns with global trends during the pandemic, where lockdowns and border restrictions severely affected supply chains.

3. We experienced a higher risk of defaults from international partners during the pandemic.


The third chart reveals that many organizations experienced a higher risk of defaults from international partners during the pandemic. This increased risk likely stems from financial instability and operational challenges faced by partners, emphasizing the need for robust risk management strategies.

4. Our organization has invested in technological innovations to enhance supply chain efficiency.






ILE COMPETITION AND COMPANY LAW JOURNAL
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025
APIS – 3920-0028 | ISSN - 2583-8385
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in




The fourth chart shows that a majority of organizations have invested in technological innovations to enhance supply chain efficiency. This trend reflects a proactive approach to addressing supply chain challenges and improving resilience.

5. The innovations we implemented helped us adapt quickly during the COVID-19 pandemic.




The fifth chart indicates that technological innovations helped organizations adapt quickly during the pandemic. This finding highlights the importance of innovation in navigating unprecedented challenges and maintaining operational continuity.

6. Our supply chain innovations have improved our overall operational resilience.




The sixth chart demonstrates that supply chain innovations have improved overall operational resilience for most organizations. This improvement suggests that investments in innovation yield long-term benefits beyond immediate crisis management.

7. Our organization has effective systems for sharing information across departments.




The seventh chart shows that many organizations have effective systems for sharing information across departments. Effective communication is crucial for coordinated responses to supply chain disruptions and other challenges.

8. We regularly conduct training sessions to prepare our staff for potential supply chain disruptions.




The eighth chart reveals that regular training sessions are conducted by many organizations to prepare staff for potential supply chain disruptions. This practice indicates a commitment to building organizational capacity and resilience.

9. Our knowledge management practices helped minimize disruptions during the pandemic.




The ninth chart highlights the role of knowledge management practices in minimizing disruptions during the pandemic. Organizations that prioritize knowledge sharing and retention are better equipped to handle crises.

10. We have strong collaborative relationships with our supply chain partners.




The tenth chart demonstrates that a significant number of the respondents report having strong collaborative relationships with supply chain partners, which is typical of several respondents. One may note that collaboration provides opportunities for supporting one another which is very important during disruptions.

11. During the pandemic, we increased communication and information sharing with our partners.



The eleventh chart documents the increase in communication and information sharing in many organizations during the pandemic. Better alignment communication enables challenges to be addressed more efficiently and ensures appropriate action is taken by all stakeholders.


12. Collaborative efforts helped us respond effectively to challenges posed by COVID-19.



The twelfth chart provides evidence that the collaborative approach enabled the organization to deal with the challenges from the COVID-19 pandemic. This reinforces the need for collaboration and collective action for problem-solving.


ILE COMPETITION AND COMPANY LAW JOURNAL
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025
APIS – 3920-0028 | ISSN - 2583-8385
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

13. Our organization utilizes flexible inventory management practices.



The thirteenth chart shows that flexible inventory management practices are widely utilized. Flexibility in inventory management allows organizations to adapt to changing demand and supply conditions.


14. We adjusted our inventory levels frequently in response to changing demand during the pandemic.



The fourteenth chart reveals that many organizations frequently adjusted inventory levels in response to changing demand during the pandemic. This adaptability is essential for maintaining operational efficiency and meeting customer needs.

15. The flexibility in our inventory management minimized operational disruptions during COVID-19.

The fifteenth chart documents how flexible approaches to managing inventories reduced operational



challenges and disruptions during the COV D-19 pandemic. This finding once again sheds light on the importance of effective supply chain management.

Discussion

- The literature consistently underscores a significant reliance on international suppliers for raw materials, which has been a critical vulnerability during the pandemic. Ivanov & Dolgui (2020) highlight this dependency as a factor that exacerbated disruptions when global supply chains were interrupted. Organizations that heavily relied on international suppliers faced significant challenges in maintaining operational continuity due to border restrictions and logistical delays.
- The disruption of international orders is another recurring theme in the literature. Govindan et al. (2020) note that traditional inventory practices could not accommodate the extreme shocks experienced during the pandemic, leading to widespread fulfilment issues. This aligns with findings from Mishra et al. (2021), who emphasize the need for integrated risk management strategies to address such disruptions effectively.
- The increased risk of defaults from international partners during the pandemic is highlighted by several studies, including Craighead et al. (2020). Financial instability among partners led to operational challenges that further strained supply chains, reinforcing the necessity for robust risk management frameworks as suggested by Verma & Gustafsson (2020).
- A proactive response observed across organizations was an investment in technological innovations aimed at enhancing supply chain efficiency. As noted by Donthu & Gustafsson (2020), these innovations have been crucial in adapting to new consumer behaviors and maintaining demand forecasting accuracy during volatile periods.
- The role of technological innovations in facilitating rapid adaptation during COVID-19 is emphasized by Kilpatrick & Barter (2020). Organizations that embraced innovative solutions were better positioned to navigate disruptions, echoing findings from Choi et al. (2021) regarding the importance of flexibility in sourcing strategies.
- Effective communication and information sharing systems emerged as vital components for managing disruptions. The literature suggests that organizations with robust information-sharing practices could respond more effectively to challenges posed by COVID-19, aligning with insights from Mishra et al. (2021) about integrated risk management approaches.
- Regular training sessions conducted by organizations to prepare staff for potential disruptions reflect a commitment to building resilience within teams. This practice aligns with findings from Ivanov & Dolgui (2020), who advocate for adaptive capabilities as essential elements of resilient supply chains.
- Knowledge management practices played a critical role in minimizing disruptions during the pandemic, as highlighted by Sodhi & Tang (2021). Organizations prioritizing knowledge sharing were better equipped to handle crises effectively, reinforcing the idea that resilience is built through collaboration and information exchange.
- Strong collaborative relationships with supply chain partners were found to be common among organizations responding to COVID-19 challenges. This collaboration fosters trust and mutual

support, which are essential during periods of disruption, as noted by Verma & Gustafsson (2020).

- The increase in communication between organizations and their partners during the pandemic facilitated more effective problem-solving and alignment among stakeholders. This trend is supported by findings from Kilpatrick & Barter (2020), emphasizing that enhanced communication can mitigate some impacts of disruptions.
- Flexible inventory management emerged as a critical strategy for organizations navigating changing demand conditions during COVID-19. As highlighted by Govindan et al. (2020), adaptability in inventory practices allowed firms to respond swiftly to fluctuations in consumer behavior and market conditions.
- Frequent adjustments to inventory levels were necessary for many organizations during the pandemic, reflecting an agile approach essential for maintaining operational efficiency as discussed by Craighead et al. (2020). This adaptability is crucial for meeting customer needs amidst uncertainty.

Key Takeaways:

1. **High Dependency on International Supply Chains**: Many organizations rely heavily on international suppliers, making them vulnerable to global disruptions.
2. **Significant Impact of COVID-19**: The pandemic exposed weaknesses in supply chains, prompting organizations to invest in innovations and adapt quickly.
3. **Importance of Collaboration and Communication**: Strong relationships and effective communication with partners are critical for navigating challenges.
4. **Role of Innovation and Training**: Technological advancements and staff training play a vital role in building resilience.
5. **Flexibility as a Strength**: Flexible inventory management practices help organizations adapt to changing conditions and minimize disruptions.

HYPOTHESIS FORMULATION RESULTS

H1 posits that businesses with foreign supplier or customer links were more at risk of defaulting, supported by evidence of major disruptions in global supply networks due to lockdowns and trade restrictions. This aligns with findings that organizations heavily reliant on international ties faced increased vulnerabilities (Fajgelbaum & Khandelwal, 2022).

H2 suggests a positive correlation between the adoption of supply chain innovations and resilience to pandemic-related disruptions. Companies that invested in innovative practices pre-pandemic demonstrated better adaptability, reinforcing the notion that proactive innovation fosters resilience (Trautrims et al., 2020).

H3 indicates a negative correlation between global supply chain disruptions and knowledge preparation. Organizations with robust knowledge management practices were more adept at navigating disruptions, highlighting the importance of preparedness (Guan et al., 2020).

H4 emphasizes the impact of teamwork on mitigating interruptions, suggesting that collaborative approaches enhance responsiveness to early warning signs during crises (Queiroz et al., 2020).

H5 asserts that firms utilizing flexible inventory management experienced less operational impact compared to those relying on standard procedures. This is evidenced by findings that flexibility is crucial for adapting to rapid changes in demand and supply (Kilpatrick & Barter, 2020). Overall, these hypotheses underscore the critical factors influencing

supply chain resilience during unprecedented disruptions.

CONCLUSION

That said, this report underlines the importance of being strategically proactive when dealing with supply chains by investing in technology, collaboration, and agile inventory practices. These factors can all go a long way in achieving organizational resilience. The lessons emanating from the COVID-19 pandemic strongly emphasize the global supply chain interdependence and the need to be ready for the unanticipated. For operational viability and competitive advantage in a highly unpredictable world, global firms must ensure this lesson is incorporated into their business models going forward.

At the same time, the document offers practical steps for companies interested in making their supply chains more robust against disruptions in the future, such as expanding the supplier portfolio, utilizing new technologies like Artificial Intelligence and blockchain for enhanced transparency and efficiency, and implementing adaptive inventory systems that can shift according to the current state of the market. In addition, companies should take the initiative to train employees regularly in anticipation of future disruptions.

In conclusion, this study attained highly valuable knowledge concerning the transformation of supply chain practices around the world after COVID-19. To tackle these vulnerabilities and exploit available technologies, companies need to build more effective and sustainable supple chains that can withstand future shocks. The continuous changes in customer behavior and international relations will make it even more necessary to embrace change with a focus on effective and resilient supply chain management strategies.

REFERENCES

- Carvalho, H., et al. (2021). Impact of global supply chain disruptions on business resilience strategies for adapting to pandemics and geopolitical conflicts.
- Choi, T.-M., et al. (2021). Shifts in sourcing strategies from global to local due to pandemic-related disruptions.
- Craighead, C.W., et al. (2020). Power dynamics within supply chains post-pandemic.
- de Sousa Jabbour, A.B.L., et al. (2020). Sustainable practices as pathways to enhance resilience.
- Donthu, N., & Gustafsson, A. (2020). Changes in consumer behavior during COVID-19.
- Flynn, B.B., et al. (2021). Financial effects of supply chain disruptions on shareholder value.
- Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2020). Challenges faced by manufacturing organizations due to COVID-19.
- Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Vulnerabilities in global supply chains exposed by COVID-19.
- Kilpatrick, J.A., & Barter, L.M. (2020). Panic-buying's impact on supply chains.
- Kottala, K., & Herbert, J.R.(2019). Critical challenges faced during the pandemic.
- Mishra, D., et al.(2021). Supply chain disruptions caused by COVID-19.
- Queiroz, M.M., et al.(2020). Framework for understanding changes in supply chain management practices.
- Sodhi,M.S.,& Tang,C.S.(2021). Strategic responses to mitigate risks associated with extreme events.
- Verma,R.& Gustafsson,A.(2020). Prioritizing resilience over efficiency in supply chain strategies.